

25 February 2009 [2-09]

APPLICATION – ADMINISTRATIVE ASSESSMENT

Date Due for co	5 January 2009 ompletion of Administrative Assessmer ative Assessment Completed: 28 Janua	•
Applicant: Seafarm Pty Ltd		Potentially Affected Standard in the Code:
Title: Presence of 4-hexylresorcinol in Cooked Crustaceans		Standard 1.3.1 – Food Additives
Brief Description of Application	:	
To clarify that the presence of 4-h permitted, as carryover from treat	exylresorcinol in cooked crustacea is ed raw crustacea.	
Procedure: General	Cost Category (General Procedure):	Estimated start work:
General	Up to 500 hours	December 2009
Reasons why:	·	
4-hexylresorcinol is a generally permitted food additive for uncooked crustacea and this Application is seeking an amendment to the Code to clarify that residues of 4-hexylresorcinol are permitted in cooked crustacea as a result of carryover from the treatment of uncooked crustacea.	Estimated total hours (General Procedure): 179 hours	
	Reasons why:	
	 already generally permitted food additive for uncooked crustacea; 	
	 the Application seeks an amendment to the Code to clarify that the additive may be present in cooked crustacean; 	
	 only a limited consideration of safety, dietary exposure and food technology is required; 	
	 the risk management options would be straight forward. 	

DECISION

Application accepted	
Date: 28 January 2009	

Has the Applicant claimed Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

What documents are affected? N/A

Has the Applicant provided justification for Confidential Commercial Information status?

Yes No ✓

Is the Application for a High Level Health Claim?

Yes No ✓

If so, has the Applicant made an election to have FSANZ give public notice calling for submissions under s.51 of the FSANZ Act?

Yes No ✓

Has the Applicant sought special consideration e.g. novel food exclusivity, two separate applications which need to be progressed together e.g. a novel food and a related high level health claim.

Yes No ✓

Details: N/A

Charges

Does FSANZ consider that the application is subject to ECCB?

Yes No ✓

If yes, indicate the reason: N/A

Does the Applicant want to expedite consideration of this Application?

Yes No Not known ✓

Application Handbook Requirements

Which Guideline/s within the Part 3 of the *Application Handbook* apply to this Application:

3.1 and 3.3.1

Does the Application meet the requirements of the relevant Guideline/s?

Yes ✓ No

Is the checklist completed?

Yes No ✓

What information is not provided? N/A

Does the Application relate to a matter that may be developed as a food regulatory measure, or that warrants a variation of a food regulatory measure?

Yes ✓ No

Is the Application so similar to a previous application or proposal for the development or variation of a food regulatory measure that it ought not to be accepted?

Yes No ✓

Did the Applicant identify the Procedure that, in their view, applies to the consideration of this Application?

Yes ✓ No

If yes, indicate which Procedure:

Minor

Other Comments or Relevant Matters:

CONSULTATION & ASSESSMENT TIMEFRAME

Consultation Strategy:

Proposed length of public consultation period:

• General Procedure (6 weeks)

Community Involvement Category:

5

Case of minor significance or complexity

Proposed Timeframe for Assessment

'Early Bird Notification' due:

General Procedure:

Commence Assessment (clock start)
Completion of Assessment

Public comment

Board to complete Approval Notification to Ministerial Council Anticipated gazettal if no review requested Mid-December 2009 Early-March 2010

Mid-March 2010-End April 2010

End July 2010 Early August 2010 Mid-October 2010